

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|--|
| Family Name | Richardson |
| Given Name | Alex |
| Person ID | 1287021 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Richardson |
| Given Name | Alex |
| Person ID | 1287021 |
| Title | JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Sound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Family Name | Richardson |
| Given Name | Alex |
| Person ID | 1287021 |
| Title | JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway) |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Sound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Family Name | Richardson |
| Given Name | Alex |
| Person ID | 1287021 |
| Title | JPA 19: Bamford / Norden |
| Type | Web |

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Sound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | There is a surfeit of brownfield land all across the Rochdale area, which should be built on before any greenbelt land is turned over to developers. Furthermore, this proposed development is particularly unsuited to the Bamford/fieldhouse location. The green belt site is liable to flooding plus this development would mean the loss of several playing fields when the country has been locked down for two years! Furthermore the area is a refuge for wildlife including deer and bats. The area already suffers from terrible traffic jams and pollution at rush hour which a one way system would exacerbate. There is also no meaningful access to public transport with bus services infrequent and the nearest rail/metro station at least 3 miles away. All the local schools and GP practices are already oversubscribed. The development is completely unnecessary given there is ample unoccupied housing in the borough. |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. | It simply needs to be stopped altogether. All the existing brownfield locations across Rochdale should be developed first before any greenbelt land in the area is developed! |
| Family Name | Richardson |
| Given Name | Alex |
| Person ID | 1287021 |
| Title | JPA 21: Crimble Mill |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Sound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

This development is being proposed on greenbelt land when there are ample brownfield sites in the local area. Furthermore the location represent a very clear flood risk